(24)

# HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

## WRIT PETITION (S) No. 3678 of 2007

**PETITIONER** : Smt. Manjulata Sahariya (Before Marriage Ku. Manjulata Tiwari) W/o Late Shri Anil Sahariya Age about 44 years, U.D.T. Govt. Hr. Sec. School Risali, Durg, R/o: M.I.G 2, 370, Hudco , Bhilai , Distt. – Durg (C.G.)

**VERSUS**

**RESPONDENTS** :
1. State Govt. of Chhattisgarh Through: Secretary, School Education Department Ministry, D.K.S. Bhavan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. Director, Directorate of Public Instruction Pension Bada, Raipur (C.G.)
3. District Education Officer Distt. – Durg (C.G.)
4. Joint Director Treasury & Accounts, Raipur (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

**Single Bench : Hon'ble Justice Shri Satish K. Agnihotri.**

Present: Shri S.K.Thomas, Advocate for the petitioner.
Smt. Anju Ahuja, Dy. Govt. Advocate for the State/respondents.

**ORDER**
(Passed on 29th day of June, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner as well as for the State/respondents jointly submit that the fact and question of law involved in the present writ petition are the same to that of in **Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj Vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg and another {2006 (II) MPJR-CG 96}**, which has been disposed of vide order dated 03.04.2006. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents does not controvert the said submission.

2. Accordingly, the writ petition is allowed in terms of the order passed in the case of **Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj Vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg and another** (Supra), subject to verification of the fact asto whether the petitioner has acquired B.Ed Examination Certificate on her own expenses as per the circulars dated 24.12.1998 and 01.03.1999 and further whether she has been paid two advance increments or not. No order as to costs.

**Sd/-**
**Satish K. Agnihotri**
**Judge**

Amit